arXiv:1010.5768v2 [math.AC] 4 Nov 2010

GRÖBNER BASES OF CONTRACTION IDEALS

TAKAFUMI SHIBUTA

Abstract. We investigate Gröbner bases of contraction ideals under some monomial homomorphisms. As an application of our theorem, we generalize the result of Aoki–Hibi–Ohsugi–Takemura and Hibi-Ohsugi. Using our results, one can provide many examples of toric ideals that admit square-free or quadratic initial ideals.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries on Gröbner bases 5
3. Gröbner bases of contraction ideals 6
3.1. In case of monomial ideals 7
3.2. Reduction to case of monomial ideals 8
3.3. Pseudo-Gröbner bases 9
3.4. Sufficient condition for that initial commutes with contraction 9
3.5. Pseudo-Gröbner bases and Gröbner bases of contraction ideals 12
4. Applications 15
4.1. Veronese configurations 15
4.2. Toric fiber products 16
4.3. Generalized nested configurations 17
References 18

1. Introduction

We denote by $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ the set of non-negative integers. For a given positive integer n, $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ denotes the set of the first n positive integers. For a multi-index $\boldsymbol{a} = {}^t(a_1, \dots, a_r)$ and variables $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$, we write $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}} = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_r^{a_r}$. We set $|\boldsymbol{a}| = a_1 + \cdots + a_r$. In this paper, “quadratic” means “of degree at most two”. We say that a monomial ideal J satisfies a property P (e.g. quadratic, square-free, or of degree m) if the minimal system of monomial generators of J satisfies P.

In recent years, applications of commutative algebras in statics have been successfully developed since the pioneering work of Diaconis–Sturmfels [5]. They gave algebraic algorithms for sampling from a finite sample space using Markov chain Monte Carlo methods. In some statical models, sample spaces are described as $\mathcal{A}$-fiber space $\mathrm{Fiber}_{\mathcal{A}}(\boldsymbol{b}) \cap \mathbb{N}^n = \{\boldsymbol{a} = {}^t(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \mathcal{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{b}\}$ of $\boldsymbol{b}$ for some $m \times n$ integer matrix $\mathcal{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{N}^n$. The *toric ideal* of the matrix $\mathcal{A}$ is the binomial prime ideal $P_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}} - \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}} \mid \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{N}^n, \mathcal{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} \rangle$ in the polynomial ring $K[\boldsymbol{x}] = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

In case where $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a homogeneous ideal, Diaconis–Sturmfels shows that using a system of generators of $P_{\mathcal{A}}$, one can construct a connected Markov chain over the finite set $\mathrm{Fiber}_{\mathcal{A}}(\boldsymbol{b})$ for any $\boldsymbol{b}$.

We are interested in when $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ admits a quadratic initial ideal or a square-free initial ideal. In this paper, we consider the case where $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}$, the product of two matrices $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$. Defining ideal of Veronese subrings of toric algebras, Segre products of toric ideals, and toric fiber products of toric ideals are examples of toric ideals of form $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ with $A = \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}$ for some $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$. We will show that in case where $\mathcal{C}$ has a good symmetric structure related with $\mathcal{B}$, $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ admits a quadratic (resp. square-free) initial ideal if both of $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ admit quadratic (resp. square-free) initial ideals. As an application of our result, we generalize the result of [1] and [8] about nested configurations.

Let $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ and $S = K[y_1, \ldots, y_s]$ be polynomial rings over a field K, I an ideal of S, and $\phi : R \to S$ a ring homomorphism. We call the ideal $\phi^{-1}(I)$ the *contraction ideal* of I under ϕ. In this paper, we will investigate when the contraction ideal $\phi^{-1}(I)$ admits a square-free initial ideal, or a quadratic initial ideal. The one of the most important ring homomorphisms in combinatorics and algebraic statistics are monomial homomorphisms: Let $\mathcal{A} = (\boldsymbol{a}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}^{(s)})$ be a $\mu \times s$ integer matrix with column vectors $\boldsymbol{a}^{(i)} = {}^t(a^{(i)}_1, \ldots, a^{(i)}_\mu) \in \mathbb{Z}^\mu$, and consider the ring homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \phi_{\mathcal{A}} : S = K[y_1, \ldots, y_s] & \to & K[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_\mu^{\pm 1}] \\ y_i & \mapsto & \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{a}^{(i)}} = \prod_{j=1}^{d} z_j^{a_j^{(i)}}. \end{array}$$

We call $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ a *monomial homomorphism*. Using abusive notation, we sometime confound the matrix $\mathcal{A} = (\boldsymbol{a}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}^{(s)})$ with the set $\{\boldsymbol{a}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{a}^{(s)}\}$ if $\boldsymbol{a}^{(i)} \neq \boldsymbol{a}^{(j)}$ for all $i \neq j$. We denote by $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A} = \{\sum_i n_i \boldsymbol{a}^{(i)} \mid n_i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the affine semigroup generated by column vectors of $\mathcal{A}$, and by $K[\mathcal{A}]$ the monomial K-algebra $K[\boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{a}^{(1)}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{a}^{(r)}}]$. Note that $P_{\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{\mathcal{A}}$. We call $\mathcal{A}$ a *configuration* if there exists a vector $0 \neq \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_\mu) \in \mathbb{Q}^\mu$ such that $\lambda \cdot \boldsymbol{a}^{(i)} = 1$ for all i. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a configuration, then $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a homogeneous ideal in the usual sense and some algebraic properties of $K[\mathcal{A}] \cong S/P_{\mathcal{A}}$ can be derived from Gröbner bases of $P_{\mathcal{A}}$: If $\mathrm{in}_<(P_{\mathcal{A}})$ is generated by square-free monomials, then $K[\mathcal{A}]$ is normal. For a homogeneous ideal $I \subset S$, if I has a quadratic initial ideal with respect to some term order, then S/I is a Koszul algebra, that is, the residue field K has a linear minimal graded free resolution. In this paper, we prove that in some cases, if both of I and $\operatorname{Ker} \phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ admit square-free or quadratic initial ideals, then so does the contraction ideal $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$ (Theorem 3.16). As a corollary, we obtain the next Theorem.

Thorem 1 (Theorem 3.16)**.** *Let $\mathcal{V} = (\boldsymbol{v}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}_s)$, $\boldsymbol{v}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, be a $d \times s$ integer matrix. Let $S = K[y_1, \ldots, y_s]$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded polynomial ring with $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(y_i) = \boldsymbol{v}_i$, and $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ a finitely generated subsemigroup. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a system of generators as a semigroup of*

$$\{\boldsymbol{a} = {}^t(a_1, \ldots, a_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s \mid \mathcal{V} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathfrak{H}\}.$$

Suppose tha $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite set. Let $I \subset S$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded ideal. Then the following hold.

(1) *If both of I and $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ admit initial ideals of degree at most m, then so is $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$.*

(2) *If both of I and $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ admit square-free initial ideals, then so is $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$.*

Our interest is primarily in case where I is a toric ideal. In this case, $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$ is also a toric ideal. Let $\mathcal{A} = (\boldsymbol{a}^{(1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}^{(r)})$ be an $s \times r$ matrix with $\boldsymbol{a}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{N}^s$, and $\mathcal{B} = (\boldsymbol{b}^{(1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{b}^{(s)})$ a $\mu \times s$ matrix with $\boldsymbol{b}^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mu}$. Then we obtain monomial homomorphisms

$$R \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathcal{A}}} S \xrightarrow{\phi_{\mathcal{B}}} K[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_{\mu}^{\pm 1}].$$

Note that the composition of monomial homomorphism is also monomial homomorphism defined by the product of the matrices, $\phi_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \phi_{\mathcal{A}} = \phi_{\mathcal{B}\cdot\mathcal{A}}$, and the toric ideal $P_{\mathcal{B}\cdot\mathcal{A}}$ is the contraction ideal $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(P_{\mathcal{B}})$. By Theorem 1, the following theorem holds.

Thorem 2 (Theorem 4.7)**.** *Let $d > 0$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in [d]$ be integers, $\mathbb{Z}^d = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{Z}\boldsymbol{e}_i$ a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank d with a basis $\boldsymbol{e}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_d$, and $S = K[y_j^{(i)} \mid i \in [d],\ j \in [\lambda_i]]$ a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded polynomial ring with $\deg y_j^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{e}_i$ for $i \in [d]$, $j \in [\lambda_i]$. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}^d = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{N}\boldsymbol{e}_i$ be a configuration. We set*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \left\{\boldsymbol{a} = (a_j^{(i)} \mid i \in [d],\ j \in [\lambda_i]) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{Z}^{\lambda_i} \mid \deg_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \in \mathcal{A}\right\},$$

$R = K[x_{\boldsymbol{a}} \mid \boldsymbol{a} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}]$, and $\phi_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} : R \to S$, $x_{\boldsymbol{a}} \mapsto \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a $\nu \times \mu$ integer matrix, and $\boldsymbol{w}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{w}_d \in \mathbb{Z}^{\nu}$ linearly independent vectors. For $i \in [d]$, we fix a finite set

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \{\boldsymbol{b}_j^{(i)} \mid j \in [\lambda_i]\} \subset \mathrm{Fiber}_{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{w}_i) = \{\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mu} \mid \mathcal{V} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{w}_i\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\mu}.$$

We set $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{B}_d$ which is a configuration of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mu}$. We set

$$\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}_1, \dots, \mathcal{B}_d] := \left\{ \sum_{i \in [d], j \in [\lambda_i]} a_j^{(i)} \boldsymbol{b}_j^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{a} = (a_j^{(i)} \mid i \in [d], j \in [\lambda_i]) \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \right\}.$$

Then the following hold.

(1) *If both of $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ admit initial ideals of degree at most m, then so is $P_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}_1,\dots,\mathcal{B}_d]}$.*
(2) *If both of $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ admit square-free initial ideals, then so is $P_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}_1,\dots,\mathcal{B}_d]}$.*

Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a nested configuration defined in [1]. This theorem is a generalization of the theorem of Aoki–Hibi–Ohsugi–Takemura [1] and Hibi-Ohsugi [8], and contains the result of Sullivant [10] (toric fiber products). The easiest case is the case where there exists $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu = \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_d$, $\mathbb{N}^{\mu} = \mathbb{N}^{\mu_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{N}^{\mu_d}$, and $\mathcal{B}_i \subset \{\boldsymbol{0}\} \times \dots \times \{\boldsymbol{0}\} \times \mathbb{N}^{\mu_i} \times \{\boldsymbol{0}\} \times \dots \times \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$ for all i. If this is the case, the Gröbner basis of $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the union of Gröbner bases of $P_{\mathcal{B}_i}$'s, and Theorem 2 is follows from Hibi-Ohsugi [8] Theorem 2.6. It often happens that the product $\mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{A}$ of two matrices $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ has two equal columns. If $\mathcal{A} = (\boldsymbol{a}^{(1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}^{(r)})$ is a matrix with $\boldsymbol{a}^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{a}^{(j)}$, and $\prec$ is a term order on R such that $x_i \prec x_j$. Let $\mathcal{A}' = (\boldsymbol{a}^{(1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}^{(i-1)}, \boldsymbol{a}^{(i+1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}^{(r)})$. Then the union of $\{x_j - x_i\}$ and a Gröbner basis of $P_{\mathcal{A}'} \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_r]$ with respect to the term order induced by $\prec$ is a Gröbner basis of $P_{\mathcal{A}}$. Therefore a Gröbner basis of $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ and that of $P_{\mathcal{A}'}$ are essentially equivalent. In particular, the maximal degree of the minimal system of monomial generators of $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(P_{\mathcal{A}})$ coincides with that of $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(P_{\mathcal{A}'})$, and $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(P_{\mathcal{A}}))$ is generated by square-free monomials if and only if $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(P_{\mathcal{A}'})$ is.

Ingredient	Property 1	Property 2	Property 3
z_1	600	30	20
z_2	400	30	10
z_3	700	20	30
z_4	1200	40	50

TABLE 1. Ingredient

Manufacturer	products	property vector $\boldsymbol{w}_j$
B_1	$\overline{y}_1 := z_1^2 z_3 z_4, \quad \overline{y}_2 := z_1 z_2 z_3^3$	(3100, 120, 120)
B_2	$\overline{y}_3 := z_1 z_3 z_4^2, \quad \overline{y}_4 := z_2 z_3^3 z_4$	(3700, 130, 150)
B_3	$\overline{y}_5 := z_1^2 z_4^2, \overline{y}_6 := z_1 z_2 z_3^2 z_4, \overline{y}_7 := z_2^2 z_3^4$	(3600, 140, 140)

TABLE 2. Products

We will present a small example. Assume that there are four ingredients z_1, z_3, z_3, z_4, and three manufacturers B_1, B_2, B_3. Assume that each ingredient z_i is equipped with a property vector $\boldsymbol{v}_i = (v_{i1}, v_{i2}, v_{i3}) \in \mathbb{N}^3$ as in Table 1. For example, z_i's are cooking ingredients and $\boldsymbol{v}_i$ is the list of the nutritions of z_i, or z_i's are financial products and $\boldsymbol{v}_i$ is the list of the price, the interest income, and the risk index of z_i. Each of manufacturers provides products combining $z_1, \dots, z_4$. A product is expressed as a monomial $z_1^{b_1} z_2^{b_2} z_3^{b_3} z_4^{b_4}$ where b_i is the number of z_i contained in the product. Assume that property vectors are additive, that is, the property vector of $z_1^{b_1} z_2^{b_2} z_3^{b_3} z_4^{b_4}$ is $b_1 \boldsymbol{v}_1 + \cdots + b_4 \boldsymbol{v}_4$. Suppose that each manufacturer B_j sells the products with a fixed property vector $\boldsymbol{w}_j$ as in Table 2. Suppose that each customer choose two manufacturers and buys one product from each chosen manufacturer. Then there are 16 patterns of choice as $\overline{y}_1\overline{y}_3, \overline{y}_1\overline{y}_4, \overline{y}_2\overline{y}_3, \overline{y}_2\overline{y}_4, \overline{y}_1\overline{y}_5, \overline{y}_1\overline{y}_6, \overline{y}_1\overline{y}_7, \overline{y}_2\overline{y}_5, \overline{y}_2\overline{y}_6, \overline{y}_2\overline{y}_7, \overline{y}_3\overline{y}_5, \overline{y}_3\overline{y}_6, \overline{y}_3\overline{y}_7, \overline{y}_4\overline{y}_5, \overline{y}_4\overline{y}_6, \overline{y}_4\overline{y}_7$, and we name them $\overline{x}_1, \dots, \overline{x}_{16}$, respectively. Suppose that there are 1000 customers, and the choices of the customers is

$$\boldsymbol{c}_0 = {}^t(101, 59, 80, 21, 129, 62, 78, 83, 47, 51, 98, 70, 12, 58, 31, 20)$$

where the k-th component of $\boldsymbol{c}_0$ is the number of customers whose choice is $\overline{x}_k$. We will count the number of $\overline{y}_j$ that are sold, and the number of z_i in the whole of the sold products. Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1&1&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 0&0&1&1&0&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&0&0&0&0 \\ 1&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&1&1&0&0&0 \\ 0&1&0&1&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&0&1&1&1 \\ 0&0&0&0&1&0&0&1&0&0&1&0&0&1&0&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0&1&0&0&1&0&0&1&0 \\ 0&0&0&0&0&0&1&0&0&1&0&0&1&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 2&1&1&0&2&1&0 \\ 0&1&0&1&0&1&2 \\ 1&3&1&3&0&2&4 \\ 1&0&2&1&2&1&0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the j-th component of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}_0 = {}^t(429, 282, 361, 189, 368, 210, 161)$ is the number of $\overline{y}_j$ that are sold, and the i-th component of $\mathcal{B} \cdot (\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}_0) = {}^t(2447, 1003, 3267, 2286)$ is the number of z_i in the whole of the sold products. We consider all the possibilities of

1000 customers choices such that the number of z_i in the whole of the sold products is the same as $\boldsymbol{c}_0$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. This space is expressed as the $(\mathcal{B} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}})$-fiber space of $\boldsymbol{b} := (\mathcal{B} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{c}_0 = {}^t(2447, 1003, 3267, 2286)$;

$$\mathrm{Fiber}_{\mathcal{B}\cdot\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}(\boldsymbol{b}) \cap \mathbb{N}^{16} = \{\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{N}^{16} \mid (\mathcal{B} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{c} = \boldsymbol{b}\}.$$

Gröbner bases of the toric ideal of

$$\mathcal{B} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 & 6 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 3 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

is used to analyze this model. The toric ideal $P_{\mathcal{B}\cdot\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ is complicated, and generated by 33 binomials

$$x_{16}x_{14}-x_{15}^2,\ x_{13}-x_{15},\ x_{12}-x_{14},\ x_{16}x_{11}-x_{15}x_{14},\ x_{15}x_{11}-x_{14}^2,\ x_{16}x_9-x_{15}x_{10},\ x_{15}x_9-x_{14}x_{10},\ x_{14}x_9-x_{11}x_{10},$$

$$x_{16}x_8-x_{14}x_{10},\ x_{15}x_8-x_{11}x_{10},\ x_{14}x_8-x_{11}x_9,\ x_{10}x_8-x_9^2,\ x_7-x_9,\ x_6-x_8,\ x_{16}x_5-x_{11}x_{10},\ x_{15}x_5-x_{11}x_9,$$

$$x_{14}x_5-x_{11}x_8,\ x_{10}x_5-x_9x_8,\ x_9x_5-x_8^2,\ x_{16}x_3-x_{15}x_4,\ x_{15}x_3-x_{14}x_4,\ x_{14}x_3-x_{11}x_4,\ x_{10}x_3-x_9x_4,\ x_9x_3-x_8x_4,$$

$$x_8x_3-x_5x_4,\ x_2-x_3,\ x_{16}x_1-x_{14}x_4,\ x_{15}x_1-x_{11}x_4,\ x_{14}x_1-x_{11}x_3,\ x_{10}x_1-x_8x_4,\ x_9x_1-x_5x_4,\ x_8x_1-x_5x_3,\ x_4x_1-x_3^2.$$

On the other hand, $\mathcal{B}$ has a simple the toric ideal, and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ has a good combinatorial structure. The Gröbner basis of $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ with respect to the lexicographic order $\prec_{\mathrm{lex}}$ with $y_7 \prec_{\mathrm{lex}} \cdots \prec_{\mathrm{lex}} y_1$ is

$$\{y_4y_1 - y_3y_2, y_6y_1 - y_5y_2, y_7y_1 - y_6y_2, y_6y_3 - y_5y_4, y_7y_3 - y_6y_4, y_7y_5 - y_6^2\},$$

thus $\mathrm{in}_{\prec_{\mathrm{lex}}}(P_{\mathcal{B}})$ is generated by square-free quadratic monomials, and $P_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ admits a square-free quadratic initial ideal since $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a nested configuration ([1] Theorem 3.6). By Theorem 2, we conclude that $P_{\mathcal{B}\cdot\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}$ also admits a square-free quadratic initial ideal.

2. Preliminaries on Gröbner bases

Here, we recall the theory of Gröbner bases. See [3], [4] and [9] for details.

Let $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K. A total order $\prec$ on the set of monomials $\{\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \mid \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{N}^r\}$ is a *term order* on R if $\boldsymbol{x}^0 = 1$ is the unique minimal element, and $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \prec \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ implies $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{c}} \prec \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{c}}$ for all $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{N}^r$. Let $\boldsymbol{a} = {}^t(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ and $\boldsymbol{b} = {}^t(b_1, \ldots, b_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$.

Definition 2.1 (lexicographic order)**.** The term order $\prec_{lex}$ called a *lexicographic order* with $x_r \prec_{lex} \cdots \prec_{lex} x_1$ is defined as follows: $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \prec_{lex} \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ if $a_j < b_j$ where $j = \min\{i \mid a_i \neq b_i\}$.

Definition 2.2 (reverse lexicographic order)**.** The term order $\prec_{rlex}$ called a *reverse lexicographic order* with $x_r \prec_{rlex} \cdots \prec_{rlex} x_1$ is defined as follows: $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \prec_{rlex} \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ if $|\boldsymbol{a}| < |\boldsymbol{b}|$ or $|\boldsymbol{a}| = |\boldsymbol{b}|$ and $a_j > b_j$ where $j = \min\{i \mid a_i \neq b_i\}$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\prec$ be a term order on R, $f \in R$, and I an ideal of R. The *initial term* of f, denoted by $\mathrm{in}_\prec(f)$, is the highest term of f with respect to $\prec$. We call $\mathrm{in}_\prec(I) = \langle \mathrm{in}_\prec(f) \mid f \in I\rangle$ the *initial ideal* of I with respect to $\prec$. We say that a finite collection of polynomials $G \subset I$ is a *Gröbner basis* of I with respect to $\prec$ if $\langle \mathrm{in}_\prec(g) \mid g \in G\rangle = \mathrm{in}_\prec(I)$.

Remark 2.4. The set of all monomials not in the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_\prec(I)$ forms a basis of R/I as a K-vector space.

We recall the Buchberger's Criterion. We denote by $\overline{f}^G$ a remainder of f on division by G. If G is a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $\prec$, $\overline{f}^G$ is the unique polynomial g such that $f - g \in I$ and any term of g is not in $\mathrm{in}_\prec(I)$.

Definition 2.5. The *S-polynomial* of f and g is given by

$$S(f,g) = \frac{\mathrm{lcm}(\mathrm{in}_\prec(f), \mathrm{in}_\prec(g))}{\mathrm{in}_\prec(f)} f - \frac{\mathrm{lcm}(\mathrm{in}_\prec(f), \mathrm{in}_\prec(g))}{\mathrm{in}_\prec(g)} g.$$

Theorem 2.6 (Buchberger's Criterion)**.** *Let $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ be an ideal with a system of generators G. Then G is a Gröbner basis for I if and only if $\overline{S(f,g)}^G = 0$ for all $f, g \in G$.*

We can also consider initial ideals with respect to weight vectors. Fix a weight vector $\boldsymbol{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$. We grade the ring R by associating weights w_i to x_i. Then the weight of $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \in R$ is $\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}$.

Definition 2.7. Given a polynomial $f \in R$ and a weight vector $\boldsymbol{w}$, the *initial form* $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(f)$ is the sum of all monomials of f of the highest weight with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}$. We call $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I) = \langle \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(f) \mid f \in I \rangle$ the *initial ideal* of I with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}$. We say that a finite collection of polynomials $G \subset I$ is a *pseudo-Gröbner basis* of I with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}$ if $\langle \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(g) \mid g \in G \rangle = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$. If G is a pseudo-Gröbner basis and $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(g)$ is a monomial for all $g \in G$, we call G a Gröbner basis of I with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}$.

It is easy to prove that any pseudo-Gröbner basis of an ideal is a system of generators of the ideal.

We define a new term order $\prec_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ defined by weight vector $\boldsymbol{w}$ with a term order $\prec$ as a tie-breaker.

Definition 2.8. For a weight vector $\boldsymbol{w}$ and a term order $\prec$, we define a new term order $\prec_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ as follows: $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \prec_{\boldsymbol{w}} \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ if $\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} < \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}$, or $\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \prec \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$.

A Gröbner basis of I with respect to $\prec_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ is a pseudo-Gröbner basis of I with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}$, but the converse is not true in general. We end this section with the following useful and well-known propositions. See [9] for the proofs.

Proposition 2.9. *For any term order $\prec$ and any ideal $I \subset R$, there exists a vector $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{N}^r$ such that* $\mathrm{in}_\prec(I) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$.

Proposition 2.10. $\mathrm{in}_\prec(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)) = \mathrm{in}_{\prec_{\boldsymbol{w}}}(I)$.

3. GRÖBNER BASES OF CONTRACTION IDEALS

Rings appearing in this paper may equip two or more graded ring structures. To avoid the confusion, for a ring with a graded ring structure given by an object $*$, (for example, a weight vector $\boldsymbol{w}$, an abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^d$, and so on) we say that elements or ideals are $*$-homogeneous or $*$-graded if they are homogeneous with respect to the graded ring structure given by $*$. For a $*$-homogeneous polynomial f, we denote by $\deg_*(f)$ the degree of f with respect to $*$.

Let $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ and $S = K[y_1, \dots, y_s]$ be polynomial rings over K, and I an ideal of S. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\boldsymbol{a}^{(1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}^{(r)}\} \subset \mathbb{N}^s$, and $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} : R \to S$ $(x_j \mapsto \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}^{(j)}})$ be a monomial homomorphism. Fix a term order $\prec$ on R. We investigate when the contraction of an ideal $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$ has square-free or quadratic initial ideals. It is expected that if both of I and $P_{\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ have such properties, then so does $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$. We will prove this holds true under some assumptions. First, we prove this in case where I is a monomial ideal, then reduce the general cases to monomial ideal cases.

3.1. **In case of monomial ideals.**

In this subsection, we consider contractions of monomial ideals.

Definition 3.1. For a monomial ideal J, we denote by $\delta(J)$ the maximum of the degrees of a system of minimal generators of J.

Definition 3.2. Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. Let $L_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I)$ be a monomial ideal generated by all monomials in $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I) \setminus \operatorname{in}_{\prec}(P_{\mathcal{A}})$. We denote by $M_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I)$ the minimal system of monomial generators $L_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I)$

Let $I_1, I_2 \subset S$ be monomial ideals. Then

$$L_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I_1 + I_2) = L_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I_1) + L_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I_2)$$

as $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(u) \in I_1 + I_2$ if and only if $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(u) \in I_1$ or $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(u) \in I_2$ for a monomial u. In particular, if I is generated by monomials $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{b}_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{b}_n}$, then

$$L_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I) = L_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{b}_1}) + \cdots + L_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{b}_n}).$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideal. Then the following hold:*

1. $\delta(L_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I)) \leq \delta(I)$.
2. *If I is generated by square-free monomials, then $L_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I)$ is generated by square-free monomials.*

Proof. It is enough to prove in case where I is generated by one monomial v. Let $u \in \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I) \setminus \operatorname{in}_{\prec}(P_{\mathcal{A}})$ be a monomial.

(1) Let $\delta := \delta(I) = \deg(v)$ and $m = \deg(u)$. Assume that $m > \delta$. It is enough to show that there exists a monomial $u' \in \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$ of degree strictly less than m such that u' divides u. We prove this by induction on δ. It is trivial in case where $\delta = 0$. Assume that $\delta \geq 1$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that x_1 divides u. Let $\tilde{v} = \gcd(v, \phi_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1))$. If $\tilde{v} = 1$, we can take u/x_1 as u'. If $\tilde{v} \neq 1$, then $v/\tilde{v}$ is a monomial of degree at most $\delta - 1$, and $u/x_1 \in \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\langle v/\tilde{v} \rangle)$. By the hypothesis of induction, there exists a monomial $u'' \in \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(v/\tilde{v})$ such that u'' divides u/x_1 and $\deg(u'') < m - 1$. Then $u' = x_1 \cdot u''$ is a monomial with desired conditions.

(2) We may assume, without loss of generality, that $v = \prod_{j=1}^{t} y_j$ for some $t \leq s$. Let $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} x_i^{a_i} \in \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$ be a monomial. It is enough to show that there exists a square-free monomial in $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$ that divides $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$. For $1 \leq k \leq t$, there exists $1 \leq i(k) \leq r$ such that

$a_{i(k)} \neq 0$ and y_k divides $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(x_{i(k)})$. Let $\Lambda = \{i(1), \ldots, i(t)\}$. Then $\prod_{i \in \Lambda} x_i$ is a square-free monomial in $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$ which divides $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let $I \subset S$ be a monomial ideals. Let $G_{\mathcal{A}}$ be a Gröbner basis of $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ with respect to $<$. Then the following hold:*

1. *$G_{\mathcal{A}} \cup M_{<}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I)$ is a Gröbner basis of $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$ with respect to $<$.*
2. $\delta(\mathrm{in}_<(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I))) \leq \max\{\delta(I), \delta(\mathrm{in}_<(P_{\mathcal{A}}))\}$
3. *If I and $\mathrm{in}_<(P_{\mathcal{A}})$ are generated by square-free monomials, then $\mathrm{in}_<(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I))$ is generated by square-free monomials.*

Proof. It is clear that $G_{\mathcal{A}} \cup M_{<}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I) \subset \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$. Let $f \in \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)$, and g the remainder of f on division by $G_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then any term of g is not in $\mathrm{in}_<(P_{\mathcal{A}})$. Hence different monomials appearing in g map to different monomials under $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$. Since I is a monomial ideal, it follows that all terms of g are in $L_{<}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I)$. Thus the remainder of g on division by $M_{<}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I)$ is zero. Therefore a remainder of f on division by $G \cup M_{<}^{(\mathcal{A})}(I)$ is zero. This implies (1).

We conclude (2) and (3) immediately from (1) and the Lemma 3.3. □

3.2. **Reduction to case of monomial ideals.** Let I be an ideal of S. We fix a weight vector $\boldsymbol{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ on $S = K[y_1, \ldots, y_s]$ such that $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$ is a monomial ideal. We take

$$\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^* \boldsymbol{w} := \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \mathcal{A} = (\deg_{\boldsymbol{w}} \phi_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1), \ldots, \deg_{\boldsymbol{w}} \phi_{\mathcal{A}}(x_r))$$

as a weight vector on $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ so that $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ preserve weight. Then R and S are $\mathbb{N}$-graded rings; $R = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} R_i$ and $S = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S_i$ where R_i and S_i are the K-vector space spanned by all monomials of weight i with respect to $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^* \boldsymbol{w}$ and $\boldsymbol{w}$, respectively. Then $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a homogeneous homomorphism of graded rings of degree 0, that is, $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(R_i) \subset S_i$. Hence $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^* \boldsymbol{w}$-homogeneous ideal. It is easy to show that $\mathrm{in}_{\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^* \boldsymbol{w}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)) \subset \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))$ (see Lemma 3.8 (1)). This inclusion is not always an equality.

Example 3.5. Let $R = K[x_1, x_2]$ and $S = K[y_1, y_2]$ be polynomial rings, $\boldsymbol{w} = (2, 1)$ a weight vector on S, and $\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1) = y_1$, $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(x_2) = y_1 y_2$, and $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^* \boldsymbol{w} = (2, 3)$. Let I be an ideal generated by $f = y_1 + y_2 \in S$. Then

$$\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I) = \langle x_1 - y_1, x_2 - y_1 y_2, f \rangle \cap R = \langle x_1^2 + x_2 \rangle$$

and thus $\mathrm{in}_{\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^* \boldsymbol{w}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)) = \langle x_1^2 \rangle$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(f) = y_1$ and thus

$$\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)) = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle.$$

Therefore $\mathrm{in}_{\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^* \boldsymbol{w}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)) \neq \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))$.

In the case where the equality $\mathrm{in}_{\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^* \boldsymbol{w}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)) = \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))$ holds, we obtain the next theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let the notation be as above. Suppose, in addition, that the equality*

$$\mathrm{in}_{\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^* \boldsymbol{w}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)) = \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))$$

holds. Then the following hold:

(1) $\mathrm{in}_{<_{\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}}\boldsymbol{w}}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)) = \mathrm{in}_<(P_{\mathcal{A}}) + L_<^{(\mathcal{A})}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))$.

(2) $\delta(\mathrm{in}_{<_{\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}}\boldsymbol{w}}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I))) \le \max\{\delta(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)), \delta(\mathrm{in}_<(P_{\mathcal{A}}))\}$.

(3) *If both of* $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$ *and* $\mathrm{in}_<(P_{\mathcal{A}})$ *are generated by square-free monomials, then* $\mathrm{in}_{<_{\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}}\boldsymbol{w}}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I))$ *is generated by square-free monomials.*

Proof. Since

$$\mathrm{in}_{<_{\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}}\boldsymbol{w}}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)) = \mathrm{in}_<(\mathrm{in}_{\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}}\boldsymbol{w}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I))) = \mathrm{in}_<(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))),$$

and $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$ is a monomial ideal, we obtain the assertion applying Proposition 3.4 to the monomial ideal $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$. □

In the rest of this paper, we investigate when the equality $\mathrm{in}_{\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}}\boldsymbol{w}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)) = \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))$ holds.

3.3. **Pseudo-Gröbner bases.** To investigate when the equality $\mathrm{in}_{\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}}\boldsymbol{w}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)) = \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))$ holds, we extend the definition of pseudo-Gröbner bases to ideals of $\mathbb{N}$-graded rings.

Definition 3.7. Let $A = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} A_i$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded ring and $f = \sum_i f_i \in A$ ($f_i \in A_i$). We define $\mathrm{in}_A(f) = f_d$ where $d = \deg(f) = \max\{i \mid f_i \ne 0\}$. For an ideal $I \subset A$, we define

$$\mathrm{in}_A(I) = \langle \mathrm{in}_A(f) \mid f \in I\rangle \subset A.$$

We say that a finite collection of polynomials $G \subset I$ is a pseudo-Gröbner basis of I if $\langle \mathrm{in}_A(g) \mid g \in G\rangle = \mathrm{in}_A(I)$.

It is easy to show that a pseudo-Gröbner basis of I generates I. Let $A = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} A_i$ and $B = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} B_i$ be graded rings, and $\phi : A \to B$ a graded ring homomorphism of degree 0, that is, $\phi(A_i) \subset B_i$ for all i.

Lemma 3.8. *Let I be an ideal of B. Then the following hold:*

(1) $\mathrm{in}_A(\phi^{-1}(I)) \subset \phi^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_B(I))$.

(2) *If ϕ is surjective, then* $\mathrm{in}_A(\phi^{-1}(I)) = \phi^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_B(I))$.

Proof. (1) Let $f = \sum_{i=1}^d f_i \in \phi^{-1}(I)$ where $f_i \in A_i$ and $f_d \ne 0$. Then $\mathrm{in}_A(f) = f_d$, $\phi(f) = \sum_{i=1}^d \phi(f_i) \in I$, and $\phi(f_i) \in B_i$. Hence $\phi(f_d) = 0$ or $\phi(f_d) = \mathrm{in}_B(\phi(f)) \in \mathrm{in}_B(I)$, and thus $\phi(\mathrm{in}_A(f)) \in \mathrm{in}_B(I)$.

(2) Since $A/\operatorname{Ker}\phi \cong B$ as $\mathbb{N}$-graded rings, and $\phi^{-1}(I)/\operatorname{Ker}\phi \cong I$ as $\mathbb{N}$-graded ideals, $\mathrm{in}_A(\phi^{-1}(I))$ coincides with $\phi^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_B(I))$ module $\operatorname{Ker}\phi$. Since $\operatorname{Ker}\phi$ is a homogeneous ideal of A, $\operatorname{Ker}\phi \subset \mathrm{in}_A(\phi^{-1}(I))$, and it is clear that $\operatorname{Ker}\phi \subset \phi^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_B(I))$. Hence we conclude the assertion. □

3.4. **Sufficient condition for that initial commutes with contraction.** Now, we return to the problem when the equality $\mathrm{in}_{\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}}\boldsymbol{w}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)) = \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))$ holds. The homomorphism $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} : R \to S$ can be decomposed to the surjection $R \to K[\mathcal{A}]$ and the inclusion $K[\mathcal{A}] \hookrightarrow S$. Note that since $K[\mathcal{A}]$ has an $\mathbb{N}$-graded ring structure induced by $\boldsymbol{w}$, we can consider pseudo-Gröbner bases of ideals of $K[\mathcal{A}]$ in the sense of Definition 3.7. Note that $\mathrm{in}_{K[\mathcal{A}]}(f) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(f)$ for $f \in K[\mathcal{A}]$. By Lemma 3.8, the equality

$$\mathrm{in}_{\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}}\boldsymbol{w}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(I)) = \phi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))$$

holds if and only if the equality

$$\mathrm{in}_{K[\mathcal{A}]}(I \cap K[\mathcal{A}]) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I) \cap K[\mathcal{A}]$$

holds. To obtain a class of monomial algebra $K[\mathcal{A}]$ such that this equality holds, we define subrings of graded rings.

Definition 3.9. Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a semigroup, and $S = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{v}\in\mathfrak{G}} S_{\boldsymbol{v}}$ a $\mathfrak{G}$-graded ring. For a subsemigroup $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathfrak{G}$, we define

$$S^{(\mathfrak{H})} = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{v}\in\mathfrak{H}} S_{\boldsymbol{v}},$$

a graded subring of S.

Let $S = K[y_1, \dots, y_s]$ a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded polynomial ring with $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(y_i) = \boldsymbol{v}_i$ where $\boldsymbol{v}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is a column vector. We set $\mathcal{V} = (\boldsymbol{v}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_s)$, and let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a finitely generated subsemigroup of $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then

$$S^{(\mathfrak{H})} = K[\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \mid \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{N}^s, \mathcal{V}\cdot\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathfrak{H}],$$

and thus $S^{(\mathfrak{H})}$ is Noetherian if and only if $\{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{N}^s \mid \mathcal{V}\cdot\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathfrak{H}\}$ is finitely generated as a semigroup. We will give sufficient conditions that $S^{(\mathfrak{H})}$ is Noetherian.

Definition 3.10. We say that a semigroup $\mathfrak{H} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ is *normal* if $\mathfrak{H} = L \cap C$ for some sublattice $L \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ and finitely generated rational cone $C \subset \mathbb{Q}^d$.

It is well-known that normal semigroups are finitely generated (Gordan's Lemma).

Proposition 3.11. *Let $S = K[y_1, \dots, y_s]$ a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded polynomial ring with $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(y_i) = \boldsymbol{v}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We set $\mathcal{V} = (\boldsymbol{v}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_s)$, and let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a finitely generated subsemigroup of $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{V}$. Assume one of the following conditions.*

1. *$\mathfrak{H}$ is a normal affine semigroup.*
2. *There exists $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_t \leq s$ such that $\{\boldsymbol{v}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_s\} = \{\boldsymbol{v}_{i_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_{i_t}\}$, and $\boldsymbol{v}_{i_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_{i_t}$ are linearly independent.*

Then $S^{(\mathfrak{H})}$ is Noetherian.

Proof. Set $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}} = \{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{N}^s \mid \mathcal{V}\cdot\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathfrak{H}\}$. Recall that $S^{(\mathfrak{H})}$ is Noetherian if and only if $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is finitely generated semigroup.

(1) As $\mathfrak{H}$ is normal, there exist sublattice $L \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ and finitely generated rational cone $C \subset \mathbb{Q}^d$ such that $\mathfrak{H} = L \cap C$. We regard $\mathcal{V}$ as a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear morphism from $\mathbb{Q}^s$ to $\mathbb{Q}^d$. Let $\widetilde{L}$ and $\widetilde{C}$ denote the pull-back of L and C under $\mathcal{V}$, respectively. Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}} = \widetilde{L} \cap \widetilde{C} \cap \mathbb{N}^s = \widetilde{L} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \widetilde{C} \cap \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$. Since $\widetilde{L} \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ is a sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}^s$, and $\widetilde{C} \cap \mathbb{R}^s_{\geq 0}$ is a finitely generated rational cone, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is also normal. Therefore, $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is finitely generated by Gordan's Lemma.

(2) Note that $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{Z}\boldsymbol{v}_{i_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\boldsymbol{v}_{i_t}$. Let $\{\boldsymbol{w}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{w}_\ell\} \subset \mathbb{N}\mathcal{V}$ be a system of generators of $\mathfrak{H}$. Since $\boldsymbol{v}_{i_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_{i_t}$ are linearly independent, $\mathrm{Fiber}_{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{w}_j) \cap \mathbb{N}^s = \{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{N}^s \mid \mathcal{A}\cdot\boldsymbol{a} = \boldsymbol{w}_j\}$ is a subset of $\{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{N}^s \mid |\boldsymbol{a}| = m_j\}$ for some positive integer $m_j > 0$. Thus $\mathrm{Fiber}_{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{w}_j) \cap \mathbb{N}^s$ is a finite set. We claim that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{Fiber}_{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{w}_j) \cap \mathbb{N}^s$ is a finite system of generators of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$.

We denote by $\mathfrak{G}$ the affine semigroup generated by $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{Fiber}_{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{w}_j) \cap \mathbb{N}^s$. Then $\mathfrak{G} \subset \widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$. For the converse inclusion, let $\boldsymbol{a} = {}^t(a_1, \dots, a_s) \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$. We will prove $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathfrak{G}$ by induction on $|\boldsymbol{a}|$. It is trivial if $|\boldsymbol{a}| = 0$. There exist $b_1, \dots, b_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{V} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} b_j \boldsymbol{w}_j$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $b_1 \neq 0$. It is easy to show that there exists $\boldsymbol{a}' = {}^t(a'_1, \dots, a'_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s$ such that $\mathcal{V} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}' = \boldsymbol{w}_1$ and $a'_i \leq a_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Since $\mathcal{V} \cdot (\boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{a}') = (b_1 - 1)\boldsymbol{w}_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} b_j \boldsymbol{w}_j \in \mathfrak{H}$, $\boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{a}' \in \mathbb{N}^s$, and $|\boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{a}'| < |\boldsymbol{a}|$, we have $\boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{a}' \in \mathfrak{G}$ by the hypothesis of induction. As $\boldsymbol{a}' \in \mathrm{Fiber}_{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{w}_1) \cap \mathbb{N}^s \subset \mathfrak{G}$, and $\boldsymbol{a} = (\boldsymbol{a} - \boldsymbol{a}') + \boldsymbol{a}'$, we conclude that $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathfrak{G}$. □

In the rest part of this paper, we consider only the case where $S^{(\mathfrak{H})}$ is Noetherian, and use the following notation.

Notation 3.12. Let $S = K[y_1, \dots, y_s]$, and $d > 0$ a positive integer. We fix a $d \times s$ integer matrix $\mathcal{V} = (\boldsymbol{v}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_s)$ with the column vectors $\boldsymbol{v}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{v}_s \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. We define a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded structure on S by setting $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(y_i) = \boldsymbol{v}_i$. Then $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} = \mathcal{V} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}$ for $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{N}^s$, and $S = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} S_{\boldsymbol{v}}$ where $S_{\boldsymbol{v}}$ is the K-vector space spanned by all monomials in S of multi-degree $\boldsymbol{v}$. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a finitely generated subsemigroup of $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{V}$. We assume that the semigroup

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}} := \{\boldsymbol{a} = {}^t(a_1, \dots, a_s) \mid \mathcal{V} \cdot \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathfrak{H}\}$$

is finitely generated. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}} = \{\boldsymbol{a}^{(1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}^{(r)}\} \subset \mathbb{N}^s$ be a system of generators of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ as a semigroup. Then $S^{(\mathfrak{H})} = K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$. Let $R^{[\mathfrak{H}]} = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ a polynomial ring over K, and $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}} : R^{[\mathfrak{H}]} \to S$, $x_i \mapsto \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}^{(i)}}$, the monomial homomorphism.

Remark that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is not always a configuration.

Definition 3.13. For $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define

$$C_{\mathfrak{H}}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{u} \in (-\boldsymbol{v} + \mathfrak{H}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} S_{\boldsymbol{u}},$$

a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded $K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$-submodule of S. Let $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(\boldsymbol{v})$ be the minimal system of generators of $C_{\mathfrak{H}}(\boldsymbol{v})$ as an $K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$-module consisting of monomials in S.

If $S_{\boldsymbol{v}} \neq 0$, then $C_{\mathfrak{H}}(\boldsymbol{v}) \cong f \cdot C_{\mathfrak{H}}(\boldsymbol{v}) \subset K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$ for any $0 \neq f \in S_{\boldsymbol{v}}$. Hence $C_{\mathfrak{H}}(\boldsymbol{v})$ is isomorphic to an ideal of $K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$ up to shift of grading, in particular, finitely generated over $K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$.

Lemma 3.14. *Let the notation be as in Notation 3.12. Fix a weight vector $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ on S, and regard S and $K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$ as $\mathbb{N}$-graded rings. Let I be a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded ideal with $\mathbb{Z}^d$-homogeneous system of generators $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_\ell\}$ with $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(f_i) = \boldsymbol{v}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then the following hold:*

1. *$I \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$ is generated by $\{\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \cdot f_i \mid i \in [\ell],\ \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(\boldsymbol{v}_i)\}$.*
2. $\mathrm{in}_{K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]}(I \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I) \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$.
3. *If F is a pseudo-Gröbner basis of I with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}$, then*

$$\{\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \cdot f_i \mid i \in [\ell],\ \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(\boldsymbol{v}_i)\}$$

is a pseudo-Gröbner basis of $I \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$ in sense of Definition 3.7.

Proof. (1) For $i \in [\ell]$ and $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{S}}(\boldsymbol{v}_i)$, $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \cdot f_i$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-homogeneous element whose degree is in $\mathfrak{S}$ by the definition of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{S}}(\boldsymbol{v}_i)$, thus $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \cdot f_i \in K[\mathcal{A}]$. Let J be the ideal of $K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]$ generated by $\{\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \cdot f_i \mid i \in [\ell],\ \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{S}}(\boldsymbol{v}_i)\}$. Then $J \subset I \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]$.

For the converse inclusion, take a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-homogeneous element $g \in I \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]$, $\deg(g) = \boldsymbol{v}$, and write $g = \sum h_i f_i$ where h_i's are $\mathbb{Z}^d$-homogeneous elements with $\deg(h_i f_i) = \boldsymbol{v}$. Since $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathfrak{S}$, it holds that $h_i f_i \in I \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]$, and thus $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(h_i) + \boldsymbol{v}_i \in \mathfrak{S}$. Hence $h_i \in C_{\mathfrak{S}}(\boldsymbol{v}_i)$. Therefore it follows that $g \in J$.

(2), (3) Assume that $\{f_1, \ldots, f_\ell\}$ is a pseudo-Gröbner basis with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}$. Since $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$ is also $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded ideal and $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(f_i) \in S_{\boldsymbol{v}_i}$, the contraction ideal $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I) \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]$ is generated by $\{\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \cdot \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(f_i) \mid i \in [\ell],\ \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{S}}(\boldsymbol{v}_i)\}$. As $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \cdot \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(f_i) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \cdot f_i)$, we conclude $\mathrm{in}_{K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]}(I \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I) \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]$. □

Proposition 3.15. *Let the notation as in Notation 3.12. We denote by* $\boldsymbol{w}'$ *the weight vector* $\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}\boldsymbol{w} = (\deg_{\boldsymbol{w}} \phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(x_1), \ldots, \deg_{\boldsymbol{w}} \phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(x_r))$. *Then*

$$\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(I)).$$

Proof. We also denote by $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}$ the surjection $R \to K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]$. By Lemma 3.14,

$$\mathrm{in}_{K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]}(I \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I) \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}],$$

and by Lemma 3.8 (2),

$$\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(\mathrm{in}_{K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]}(I \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}])) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(I \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}])).$$

Thus $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I) \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(I \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]))$. Since $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(J \cap K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}]) = \phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(J)$ for an ideal $J \subset S$, we conclude that $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(I))$.. □

Theorem 3.16. *Let the notation be as in Notation 3.12. Let I be a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded ideal, $\prec$ a term order on $R^{[\mathfrak{S}]}$, and $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ a weight vector of S such that* $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$ *is a monomial ideal. We denote by* $\boldsymbol{w}'$ *the weight vector* $\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}\boldsymbol{w} = (\deg_{\boldsymbol{w}} \phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(x_1), \ldots, \deg_{\boldsymbol{w}} \phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(x_r))$. *Then the following hold:*

1. $\delta(\mathrm{in}_{\prec_{\boldsymbol{w}'}}(\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(I))) \leq \max\{\delta(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)), \delta(\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(P_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}))\}$.
2. *If both of* $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$ *and* $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(P_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}})$ *are generated by square-free monomials, then* $\mathrm{in}_{\prec_{\boldsymbol{w}'}}(\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(I))$ *is generated by square-free monomials.*

Proof. The assertions follows from Theorem 3.6 and Proposition 3.15. □

Note that Theorem 3.16 holds true even if $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is not a configuration.

3.5. **Pseudo-Gröbner bases and Gröbner bases of contraction ideals.**

We will give a method to construct a pseudo Gröbner bases of $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(I)$, and investigate when it become a Gröbner basis. First, we fix the notation in this subsection.

Notation 3.17. Let $S = K[y_1, \ldots, y_s]$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}$, $R^{[\mathfrak{S}]} = K[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$, and $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} : R^{[\mathfrak{S}]} \to S$ be as in Notation 3.12. Let $\prec$ be a term order on $R^{[\mathfrak{S}]}$, and $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}$ the reduced Gröbner basis of $P_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}$ with respect to $\prec$ consisting of binomials. Let $I \subset S$ be a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded ideal, and fix a weight vector $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{N}^s$ on S such that $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$ is a monomial ideal. We denote by $\boldsymbol{w}'$ the weight vector $\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}\boldsymbol{w} = (\deg_{\boldsymbol{w}} \phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(x_1), \ldots, \deg_{\boldsymbol{w}} \phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}(x_r))$.

Definition 3.18. By Remark 2.4, for $0 \neq q \in K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$, there is the unique polynomial $\tilde{q} \in R^{[\mathfrak{H}]}$ such that $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(\tilde{q}) = q$ and any term of $\tilde{q}$ is not in $\mathrm{in}_{<}(P_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}})$. We define $\mathrm{lift}_{<}(q) = \tilde{q}$. For a subset $Q \subset K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$, we write

$$\mathrm{lift}_{<}(Q) = \{\mathrm{lift}_{<}(q) \mid q \in Q\}.$$

Remark 3.19.
1. For $q \in K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$, take a polynomial $p \in R^{[\mathfrak{H}]}$ such that $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(p) = q$. Then $\mathrm{lift}_{<}(q)$ is the remainder of p on division by $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}$ with respect to $<$.
2. If $u \in K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$ is a monomial, then $\mathrm{lift}_{<}(u)$ is a monomial such that $\deg_{\boldsymbol{w}}(u) = \deg_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\mathrm{lift}_{<}(u))$ since the remainder of a monomial on division by a $\boldsymbol{w}'$-homogeneous binomial ideal is a monomial with the same degree. Therefore, if Q is a set of monomials, then so is $\mathrm{lift}_{<}(Q)$.
3. Let $q \in K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}] \subset S$. If $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(q)$ is a monomial, then $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\mathrm{lift}_{<}(q))$ is also a monomial and $\deg_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\mathrm{lift}_{<}(q)) = \deg_{\boldsymbol{w}}(q)$ by (2). Furthermore, $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\mathrm{lift}_{<}(q)) = \mathrm{lift}_{<}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(q))$, and $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\mathrm{lift}_{<}(q))) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(q)$.
4. Since $R^{[\mathfrak{H}]}/\operatorname{Ker}\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}} \cong K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$ as $\mathbb{N}$-graded rings, for an ideal J of $K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$ with a system of generators Q, we have $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(J) = \langle \mathrm{lift}_{<}(Q)\rangle + \operatorname{Ker}\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}$.

Proposition 3.20. *Let J be an ideal in $K[\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}]$ with a pseudo-Gröbner basis $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_\ell\}$ (in the sense of Definition 3.7 with a graded ring structure given by $\boldsymbol{w}$). Then* $\mathrm{lift}_{<}(F) \cup G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}$ *is a pseudo-Gröbner basis of $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(J)$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}'$.*

Proof. This easily follows from the above remarks. □

Combining Proposition 3.20 and Lemma 3.14, we can obtain a pseudo-Gröbner basis of $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(I)$.

Definition 3.21. For a finite set $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_\ell\} \subset S$, $\deg_{\mathbb{Z}^d} f_i = \boldsymbol{v}_i$, we define

$$\mathrm{Lift}_{<}(F) := \mathrm{lift}_{<}(\{\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \cdot f_i \mid i \in [\ell],\ \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{H}}(\boldsymbol{v}_i)\})$$

Proposition 3.22. *Let the notation be as in Notation 3.17. Let $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_\ell\}$ a pseudo-Gröbner basis of I with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}$ consisting of $\mathbb{Z}^d$-homogeneous polynomials. Then the union* $\mathrm{Lift}_{<}(F) \cup G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}$ *is a pseudo-Gröbner basis of $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(I)$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}'$.*

Remark that $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}} \cup \mathrm{Lift}_{<}(F)$ is not always a Gröbner basis even if I is a principal monomial ideal.

Example 3.23. Let $S = K[y_1, y_2, y_3]$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded ring with $\deg(y_1) = \deg(y_2) = \deg(y_3) = 1$. Let $\mathfrak{H} = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}} = \{{}^t(2,0), {}^t(1,1), {}^t(0,2)\}$, $R^{[\mathfrak{H}]} = K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, and $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}} : R^{[\mathfrak{H}]} \to S$, $x_1 \mapsto y_1^2$, $x_2 \mapsto y_1y_2$, $x_3 \mapsto y_2^2$. Let $<$ be the lexicographic order on $R^{[\mathfrak{H}]}$ such that $x_1 < x_2 < x_3$. Then the reduced Gröbner basis $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}$ of $P_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}$ is $\{\underline{x_1x_3} - x_2^2\}$. Let $I = \langle y_2y_3^3\rangle$ and $F = \{y_2y_3^3\}$. Then $\mathrm{Lift}_{<}(F) = \{x_2x_3\}$ and $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(I) = \langle x_2x_3, x_1x_3 - x_2^2\rangle$. Let $\boldsymbol{w}$ be any weight vector on S. Since $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(I)$ is a $\boldsymbol{w}'$-homogeneous ideal, $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}} \cup \mathrm{Lift}_{<}(F) = \{x_2x_3, x_1x_3 - x_2^2\}$ is pseudo-Gröbner basis of $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(I)$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}'$. However, $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}} \cup \mathrm{Lift}_{<}(F)$ is not a Gröbner basis of $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(I)$. Recall that $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}} \cup M^{(\mathcal{A})}_{<}(I)$ is a Gröbner basis of $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(I)$ with respect to $<_{\boldsymbol{w}'}$ by Proposition 3.4. We have $M^{(\mathcal{A})}_{<}(I) = \{x_2x_3, x_2^3\}$, and $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}} \cup M^{(\mathcal{A})}_{<}(I) = \{x_2x_3, x_1x_3 - x_2^2, x_2^3\}$ is a Gröbner basis of $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{H}}}(I)$ with respect to $<_{\boldsymbol{w}'}$.

In Example 3.23, the monomial x_2^3 is obtained by the S-polynomial $S(x_2x_3, x_1x_3 - x_2^2)$, and has degree 3 which is strictly greater than $\deg(x_2x_3) = 2$. We will give a sufficient condition for the pseudo-Gröner basis constructed in Proposition 3.22, to be a Gröbner basis.

Proposition 3.24. *Let the notation be as in Notation 3.17. Assume that* $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ *is a configuration. Suppose that* $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_\ell\}$ *is a Gröbner basis of* I *with respect to* $\boldsymbol{w}$*. Let* $L_i = L_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(f_i))$ *and* $M_i = M_{\prec}^{(\mathcal{A})}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(f_i))$*. Assume that for each* i*, there exists* $\delta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $\deg(u) = \delta_i$ *for all* $u \in M_i$*. Then* $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} \cup \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)$ *is a Gröbner basis of* $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(I)$ *with respect to* $\prec_{\boldsymbol{w}'}$*.*

Proof. Note that $P_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}$ is a homogeneous ideal as $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}$ is a configuration. As $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} \cup \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)$ is a pseudo-Gröbner basis of $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(I)$ and $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}$ consists of $\boldsymbol{w}'$-homogeneous polynomials, the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(I))$ is generated by $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} \cup \{\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(g) \mid g \in \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)\}$. Since

$$\mathrm{in}_{\prec_{\boldsymbol{w}'}}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(I)) = \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(I)))$$

$G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} \cup \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)$ is a Gröbner basis of $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(I)$ if and only if $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} \cup \{\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(g) \mid g \in \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)\}$ is a Gröbner basis of $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)) = \mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(I))$. By Remark 3.19 (3), it follows that

$$\{\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(g) \mid g \in \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)\} = \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(\{\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(f) \mid f \in F\}).$$

Thus it is enough to show that

$$G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} \cup \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(\{\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(f) \mid f \in F\})$$

is a Gröbner basis of $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))$ with respect to $\prec_{\boldsymbol{w}'}$. Since $\{\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(f) \mid f \in F\}$ is a system of generators of the monomial ideal $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$, it is enough to prove this theorem of $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$. Thus we may, and do assume that I is a monomial ideal and $F = \{f_1, \dots, f_\ell\}$ is the minimal system of monomial generators of I. Then $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} M_i)$ is a Gröbner basis of $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(I)$ by Proposition 3.4.

Note that $\mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)$ is a set of monomials, and $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} \cup \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)$ is a system of generators of $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(I)$. We will prove that $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} \cup \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)$ is a Gröbner basis of $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(I)$ with respect to $\prec$ using Buchberger's criterion. It is enough to show that the remainder of the S-polynomial $S(u, g)$ on division by $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} \cup \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)$ is zero for all $u \in \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)$ and $g \in G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}$. Let $u \in \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)$. Then $u \in L_i$ for some i, and thus $\deg(u) \geq \delta_i$. For any $g \in G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}$, as $u \notin \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(P_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}})$, it follows that $u \neq \mathrm{in}_{\prec}(g)$ and thus the degree of the S-polynomial $S(u, g)$ is strictly greater than δ_i . Let u' be a remainder of $S(u, g)$ on division by $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} \cup \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)$. Since $G_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}} \cup \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)$ is a set of homogeneous binomials and monomials, u' is zero or a monomial in L_i of degree $\deg(S(u, g)) > \delta_i$. Hence $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(u') = u'$ is zero or not a member of the minimal system of monomial generators of $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}'}(\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{S}}}^{-1}(I))$. If $u' \neq 0$ for some $u \in \mathrm{Lift}_{\prec}(F)$, this contradicts to the next lemma. □

Lemma 3.25. *Let* $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ *be a homogeneous ideal with a homogeneous system of generators* $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_\ell\}$*. If* G *is not a a Gröbner basis of* I*, then there exist* $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$ *such that the initial of* $\overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^{G}$ *is a member of the minimal system of monomial generators of* $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(I)$*.*

Proof. First, note that if $\overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G \neq 0$, then the degree of $\overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G$ is not less than the degrees of g_i and g_j. Assume, to the contrary, the initial of $\overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G$ is zero or not a member of the minimal system of monomial generators of $\mathrm{in}_<(I)$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$. Let $F = \{\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}^{(1)}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}^{(m)}}\}$ be the minimal system of monomial generators of $\mathrm{in}_<(I)$. Assume that G is not a Gröbner basis. We may assume that $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}^{(1)}}$ is the monomial of minimal degree among monomials in F which are not in $\langle \mathrm{in}_<(g) \mid g \in G \rangle$. Let $G' \subset I$ be a finite subset of I such that $G \cup G'$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of I computed from G by Buchberger's algorithm. Then there exists $h \in G' \backslash G$ such that $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}^{(1)}} = \mathrm{in}_<(h)$. By the procedure of Buchberger's algorithm, $\deg(\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}^{(1)}}) = \deg h \geq \deg \overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$ with $\overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G \neq 0$. Let $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}^{(k)}} \in F$ such that $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}^{(k)}}$ divides the initial of $\overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G$. By the assumption, the initial of $\overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G$ does not coincide with $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}^{(k)}}$, and thus the degree of $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}^{(k)}}$ is strictly less than $\overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G$. Therefore $\deg(\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}^{(1)}}) > \deg(\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}^{(k)}})$. Since any term of $\overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G$ is not in $\langle \mathrm{in}_<(g) \mid g \in G \rangle$, we have $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}^{(k)}} \notin \langle \mathrm{in}_<(g) \mid g \in G \rangle$. This is a contradiction. □

4. APPLICATIONS

We will present some applications of Theorem 3.16. In all examples presented in this section, the grading of the polynomial ring of S satisfies the condition of Proposition 3.11 (2).

4.1. **Veronese configurations.** Let $S = K[y_1, \ldots, y_s] = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{N}} S_i$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded ring with $\deg(y_i) = 1$ for all i. Let d be a positive integer, and

$$\mathcal{A}_d = \{\boldsymbol{a} = {}^t(a_1, \ldots, a_s) \in \mathbb{N}^s \mid |\boldsymbol{a}| = d\}$$

the Veronese configuration. Then $S^{(\mathbb{N} \cdot d)} = K[\mathcal{A}_d]$ is the d-the Veronese subring of S. Let $R = K[x_{\boldsymbol{a}} \mid \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{A}_d]$ be a polynomial ring, and $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_d} : R \to S$ $(x_{\boldsymbol{a}} \mapsto \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}})$ the monomial homomorphism. It is known that there exist a lexicographic order on R such that $\mathrm{in}_<(P_{\mathcal{A}_d})$ is generated by square-free monomial of degree two ([7]).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $I \subset S$ be a homogeneous ideal, $\boldsymbol{w}$ a weight vector on S such that $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$ is a monomial ideal, and $\prec$ a term order on R such that $\mathrm{in}_<(P_{\mathcal{A}_d})$ is generated by square-free monomial of degree two. We denote the weight vector $\phi^*_{\mathcal{A}_d}\boldsymbol{w}$ by $\boldsymbol{w}'$. Then the following hold:*

1. $\delta(\mathrm{in}_{<_{w'}}(\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_d}(I)) \leq \max\{2, \delta(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))\}$.
2. *If $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I)$ is generated by square-free monomials, then $\mathrm{in}_{<_{w'}}(\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_d}(I))$ is generated by square-free monomials.*

Proof. The assertion immediately follows from Theorem 3.16. □

Eisenbud–Reeves–Totaro proved in [6] that if K is an infinite field, the coordinates $y_1, \ldots, y_s$ of S are generic, and $\prec$ is a certain reversed lexicographic order, then it holds that $\delta(\mathrm{in}_{<_{w'}}(\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}_d}(I)) \leq \max\{2, \delta(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I))/d\}$.

4.2. **Toric fiber products.** We recall toric fiber products defined in [10]. Let $s_1, \dots, s_d$, $t_1, \dots, t_d$ and d be positive integers, and let

$$S_1 = K[\boldsymbol{y}] = K[y_j^{(i)} \mid i \in [d], j \in [s_i]],\ S_2 = K[\boldsymbol{z}] = K[z_k^{(i)} \mid i \in [d], k \in [t_i]],$$

be $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded polynomial rings regarded with

$$\deg(y_j^{(i)}) = \deg(z_k^{(i)}) = \boldsymbol{e}_i$$

for $i \in [d], j \in [s_i], k \in [t_i]$ where $\boldsymbol{e}_i$ is the vector with unity in the i-th position and zeros elsewhere. Then

$$S := S_1 \otimes_K S_2 \cong K[y_j^{(i)}, z_k^{(i)} \mid i \in [d], j \in [s_i], k \in [t_i]]$$

carries a $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$-graded ring structure by setting

$$\deg_S(y_j^{(i)}) = (\boldsymbol{e}_i, \boldsymbol{0}),\ \deg_S(z_k^{(i)}) = (\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{e}_i)$$

for $i \in [d], j \in [s_i], k \in [t_i]$ in S. Let

$$\Delta = \{(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v}) \mid \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$$

be the diagonal subsemigroup of $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$. Since Δ is generated by $\{(\boldsymbol{e}_i, \boldsymbol{e}_i) \mid i \in [d]\}$, we have

$$S^{(\Delta)} \cong K[y_j^{(i)} z_k^{(i)} \mid i \in [d], j \in [s_i], k \in [t_i]].$$

Let $R = K[x_{jk}^{(i)} \mid i \in [d], j \in [s_i], k \in [t_i]]$ be a polynomial ring, and $\phi : R \to S$ the monomial homomorphism $\phi(x_{jk}^{(i)}) = y_j^{(i)} z_k^{(i)}$.

Let $I_1 \subset S_1$ and $I_2 \subset S_2$ be $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded ideals, and denote $(I_1 \otimes S_2) + (S_1 \otimes I_2) \subset S$ simply by $I_1 + I_2$. The ideal

$$I_1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}^d} I_2 := \phi^{-1}(I_1 + I_2)$$

is called the *toric fiber product* of I_1 and I_2. Originally, the assumptions in [10] are that $\deg(y_j^{(i)}) = \deg(z_k^{(i)}) = \boldsymbol{a}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\boldsymbol{a}^{(1)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}^{(d)}$ linearly independent, and I_1 and I_2 are $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded ideals, which are equivalent to ours.

Let $\boldsymbol{w}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_2$ weight vectors of S_1 and S_2 such that $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}(I_1)$ and $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}_2}(I_2)$ are monomial ideals, and set $\boldsymbol{w} = (\boldsymbol{w}_1, \boldsymbol{w}_2)$, the weight oder of S. Let G_1 and G_2 be Gröbner bases of I_1 and I_2 with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_2$ respectively.

Theorem 4.2. *Let the notation be as above. Let $\prec$ be the lexicographic term order on R such that $x_{j_1 k_1}^{(i_1)} \prec x_{j_2 k_2}^{(i_2)}$ if $i_1 < i_2$ or $i_1 = i_2$ and $j_1 < j_2$ or $i_1 = i_2$ and $j_1 = j_2$ and $k_1 > k_2$. Then the following hold:*

(1) $\delta(\mathrm{in}_{\prec_{\phi^*\boldsymbol{w}}}(I_1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}^d} I_2)) \leq \max\{2, \delta(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}(I_1)), \delta(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}(I_2))\}$.
(2) *If both of $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}(I_1)$ and $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}_2}(I_2)$ are generated by square-free monomials, then $\mathrm{in}_{\prec_{\phi^*\boldsymbol{w}}}(I_1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}^d} I_2)$ is generated by square-free monomials.*

Proof. By [10] Proposition 2.6, the Gröbner basis of $\operatorname{Ker}\phi$ with respect to $\prec$ is

$$\{\underline{x_{j_1 k_2}^{(i)} x_{j_2 k_1}^{(i)}} - x_{j_1 k_1}^{(i)} x_{j_2 k_2}^{(i)} \mid i \in [d],\ 1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq s_i,\ 1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq t_i\}$$

where underlined terms are initial. Since $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ is a Gröbner basis of $I_1 + I_2$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{w}$, we have

$$\delta(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(I_1 + I_2)) = \max\{\delta(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}(I_1)), \delta(\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}_1}(I_2))\}.$$

As $I_1 + I_2$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d$-graded ideal, the assertions follow from Theorem 3.16. □

In case of toric fiber product, the pseudo-Gröbner basis constructed as in Proposition 3.22 from Gröbner basis of $I_1 + I_2$ is a Gröbner basis of $I_1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}^d} I_2$. This is mentioned in [10] Corollary 2.10, but the proof contains a gap (the author claims that the pseudo-Gröbner basis is a Gröbner basis without proof). The proof of Theorem 4.2 (1) by Sullivant ([10] Corollary 2.11) uses this fact. We give a correct proof here.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G_1 and G_2 be Gröbner bases of I_1 and I_2 with respect to weight vectors $\boldsymbol{w}_1$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_2$ respectively, and set $\boldsymbol{w} = (\boldsymbol{w}_1, \boldsymbol{w}_2)$. Then the pseudo-Gröbner basis of $I_1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}^d} I_2$ constructed from $G := G_1 \cup G_2$ as in Proposition 3.22 is a Gröbner basis of $I_1 \times_{\mathbb{Z}^d} I_2$ with respect to $<_{\phi^*\boldsymbol{w}}$.*

Proof. Let $g \in G$. We denote by L_g a monomial ideal generated by all monomials in $\phi^{-1}(\mathrm{in}_w(g)) \setminus \mathrm{Ker}\,\phi$. Let M_g be the minimal system of monomial generators of L_g. By Proposition 3.24, it is enough to show that $\deg(u) = \deg(g)$ for all $u \in M_g$ to prove this theorem. Since $g \in S_1 = K[\boldsymbol{y}]$ or $g \in S_2 = K[\boldsymbol{z}]$, we may, and do assume that $g \in S_1$. Set $\mathrm{in}_{\boldsymbol{w}}(g) = \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}} \in M_g$. Then $\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$ divide $\phi(\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}})$. Since the degree of $\phi(\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}})$ in $\boldsymbol{y}$ is the same as $\deg(\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}})$ by the definition of ϕ, we have $\deg(\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}}) \geq \deg(\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}})$. By Lemma 3.3 (1), it holds that $\deg(\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{a}}) \leq \deg(\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}})$. Hence we conclude $\deg(\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{b}}) \deg(\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}}) = \deg(g)$. □

4.3. **Generalized nested configurations.** Let d and μ positive integers, and take $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in [d]$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a configuration of $\mathbb{N}^d \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \mathbb{Z}\boldsymbol{e}_i$, where $\boldsymbol{e}_i$ is the vector with unity in the i-th position and zeros elsewhere. Let

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \{\boldsymbol{b}_1^{(i)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_{\lambda_i}^{(i)}\} \subset \mathbb{N}^\mu$$

be a configuration of $\mathbb{N}^\mu$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, d$. The *(generalized) nested configuration* arising from $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_d$ is the configuration

$$\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_d] := \{\boldsymbol{b}_{j_1}^{(i_1)} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{b}_{j_r}^{(i_r)} \mid 1 \leq r \in \mathbb{N}, \boldsymbol{e}_{i_1} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{e}_{i_r} \in \mathcal{A},\ j_k \in [\lambda_{i_k}],\ i_k \in [d]\}.$$

Originally, Aoki–Hibi–Ohsugi–Takemura ([1]) define nested configurations in case where there exists $0 < \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{N}^\mu = \mathbb{N}^{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{N}^{\mu_d}$ and $\mathcal{B}_i \subset \mathbb{N}^{\mu_i}$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $\mathcal{E}_i = \{\boldsymbol{e}_1^{(i)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{e}_{\lambda_i}^{(i)}\}$ be the configuration of $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \mathbb{Z}\boldsymbol{e}_j^{(i)}$. Then $\mathcal{E}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{E}_d$ is a configuration of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} \mathbb{Z}\boldsymbol{e}_j^{(i)}$. Let

$$S = K[\mathcal{E}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{E}_d] \cong K[y_j^{(i)} \mid i \in [d],\ j \in [\lambda_i]]$$

be the $\mathbb{N}^d$-graded polynomial ring with $\deg_{\mathbb{N}^d} y_j^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{e}_i$. Then

$$S^{(\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A})} = K[\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{E}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_d]].$$

Example 4.4. Let $S = K[y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5]$ be $\mathbb{N}^3$-graded ring with

$$\deg_{\mathbb{N}^3} y_1 = \deg_{\mathbb{N}^3} y_2 = \boldsymbol{e}_1,\ \deg_{\mathbb{N}^3} y_3 = \deg_{\mathbb{N}^3} y_4 = \boldsymbol{e}_2,\ \deg_{\mathbb{N}^3} y_5 = \boldsymbol{e}_3.$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$S^{(\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A})} = K[y_1y_3y_5, y_1y_4y_5, y_2y_3y_5, y_2y_4y_5,\ y_1y_5^2, y_2y_5^2,\ y_3^2y_5, y_3y_4y_5, y_4^2y_5].$$

Theorem 4.5 ([8] Theorem 2.5). *Let the notation as above. Then the following holds:*

(1) *If $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ admit initial ideals of degree at most m, then so is $P_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{E}_1,\dots,\mathcal{E}_d]}$.*
(2) *If $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ admit square-free initial ideals, then so is $P_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{E}_1,\dots,\mathcal{E}_d]}$.*

Theorem 4.6. *Let $S = K[\boldsymbol{y}] = K[y_j^{(i)} \mid i \in [d],\ j \in [\lambda_i]]$ be $\mathbb{N}^d$-graded polynomial ring with $\deg_{\mathbb{N}^d} y_j^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{e}_i$ as above. Let $R = K[x_{\boldsymbol{a}} \mid \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_d]]$ be a polynomial ring, and set $\phi_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{E}_1,\dots,\mathcal{E}_d]} : R \to S,\ x_{\boldsymbol{a}} \mapsto \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$. Let $I \subset S$ be an $\mathbb{N}^d$-graded ideal. If I and $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ admit quadratic Gröbner bases with respect to some term orders, then so does $\phi^{-1}_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{E}_1,\dots,\mathcal{E}_d]}(I)$.*

Proof. If $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ admits a quadratic initial ideal, then so does $P_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{E}_1,\dots,\mathcal{E}_d]}$ ([1] Theorem 3.6). Therefore the assertion follows from Theorem 3.16. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let $d > 0$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \in [d]$ be integers, $\mathbb{Z}^d = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{Z}\boldsymbol{e}_i$ a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank d with a basis $\boldsymbol{e}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_d$, and $S = K[y_j^{(i)} \mid i \in [d],\ j \in [\lambda_i]]$ a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded polynomial ring with $\deg y_j^{(i)} = \boldsymbol{e}_i$ for $i \in [d]$, $j \in [\lambda_i]$. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{N}^d = \bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathbb{N}\boldsymbol{e}_i$ be a configuration. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a $\nu \times \mu$ integer matrix, and $\boldsymbol{w}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{w}_d \in \mathbb{Z}^\nu$ linearly independent vectors. For $i \in [d]$, we fix a finite set*

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \{\boldsymbol{b}_j^{(i)} \mid j \in [\lambda_i]\} \subset \mathrm{Fiber}_{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{w}_i) = \{\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^\mu \mid \mathcal{V} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{w}_i\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^\mu.$$

We set $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{B}_d$. Then $\mathcal{B}$ is a configuration of $\mathbb{Z}^\mu$, and the following hold.

(1) *If both of $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ admit initial ideals of degree at most m, then so is $P_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}_1,\dots,\mathcal{B}_d]}$.*
(2) *If both of $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ admit square-free initial ideals, then so is $P_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}_1,\dots,\mathcal{B}_d]}$.*

Proof. Let $R = K[x_{\boldsymbol{a}} \mid \boldsymbol{a} \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_d]]$, $S = K[y_j^{(i)} \mid i \in [d],\ j \in [\lambda_i]]$ be polynomial rings, and set $\phi_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{E}_1,\dots,\mathcal{E}_d]} : R \to S,\ x_{\boldsymbol{a}} \mapsto \boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$, and $\phi_{\mathcal{B}} : S \to K[\boldsymbol{z}^{\pm 1}] = K[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_\mu^{\pm 1}]$, $y_j^{(i)} \mapsto \boldsymbol{z}^{\boldsymbol{b}_j^{(i)}}$. Then $\phi_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \phi_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{E}_1,\dots,\mathcal{E}_d]} = \phi_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}_1,\dots,\mathcal{B}_d]}$. Since $\boldsymbol{w}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{w}_d \in \mathbb{Z}^\mu$ are linearly independent, $P_{\mathcal{B}} = \mathrm{Ker}\, \phi_{\mathcal{B}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded ideal. Applying Theorem 4.6 to the $\mathbb{Z}^d$-graded ideal $P_{\mathcal{B}}$, we conclude the assertion. □

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by JST, CREST.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Aoki, T. Hibi, H. Ohsugi, A. Takemura, Gröbner bases of nested configurations, J. Algebra **320** (2008), no. 6, 2583–2593
[2] W. Bruns, J. Gubeladze, *Polytopes, rings, and K-theory*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
[3] D. Cox, J. Little, D. O'Shea, *Ideals, Varieties and Algorithms*, Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[4] D. Cox, J. Little, D. O'Shea, *Using Algebraic Geometry,* Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[5] P. Diaconis, B. Sturmfels, Algebraic algorithms for sampling from conditional distributions, Ann. Statist. **26**, no. 1, 363–397, 1998.

[6] D. Eisenbud, A. Reeves, B. Totaro, Initial ideals, Veronese subrings, and rates of algebras, Adv. Math. **109** (2) (1994), 168–187.

[7] D. Emanuela, Toric rings generated by special stable sets of monomials, Math. Nachr. **203** (1999), 31–45.

[8] T. Hibi, H. Ohsugi, Toric rings and ideals of nested configurations, J. Commut. Algebra **2** (2010), no. 2, 187–208..

[9] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*, Univ. Lecture Ser., vol. 8, Amer.Math. Soc., Providence, 1996.

[10] S. Sullivant, Toric fiber products, J. Algebra **316** (2007), no. 2, 560–577.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RIKKYO UNIVERSITY, NISHI-IKEBUKURO, TOKYO 171-8501, JAPAN
E-mail address: `shibuta@rikkyo.ac.jp`